7th August 1929]

*Assessment on the wet lands of certain villages of Rayadrug taluk.*

*75 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are levying any special rate on the wet lands of Vyaparalla, Kasipuram and Kenchanapalli of Rayadrug taluk, Bellary district, for doing necessary work to the spring channels in the Hagari river and are assuring a better supply to the tanks concerned ;

(b) if so, the date of introduction of the rate, the conditions of its levy and the tank or tanks, and the year or years respectively in which those conditions were fulfilled ; and

(c) whether the fee was remitted with reference to the tank or the year in which the conditions were not fulfilled, and, if not, why not ?

*A.*—(*a*) *to* (*c*) A report has been called for.

## Public Service

*Exemption to unpassed clerks in the High Court.*

*76 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether, with reference to G.O. Mis. No. 47, Public, dated 18th January 1927, the High Court compiled and sent to the Government a list of unpassed permanent clerks and examiners whom they considered fit for exemption ; and

(b) if so, when the said list was received by the Government and what orders were passed by them thereon ?

*A.*—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) On the 27th June 1928 : the matter is under consideration.

*Inadmissibility of second appeals by public servants against departmental punishment.*

*77 Q.—Mr. S. SATYAMURTI : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) the reasons why the Government declared about July 1928 that second appeals by public servants against departmental punishment were inadmissible ;

(b) whether the Government have ascertained as to whether such a rule obtains in other provinces ;

(c) whether Government have considered the hardship which these rules are likely to entail, especially in the case of officers in the Police service ; and

(d) whether the Government propose to rescind this order ; and if not, why not ?

*A.*—(*a*) The classification rules provide for only one appeal as of right and the Government in 1927 saw no particular necessity for statutory provision of a second, having regard to the fact that access by memorial remained unaffected.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) & (*d*) The Government do not consider that any hardship is being caused to subordinate officers, including Police subordinates, by the existing rules. They do not therefore propose to modify them.

[7th August 1929

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—" With reference to the answer to clause (b) of this question, may I ask whether the Government will ascertain as to whether such a rule obtains in other provinces ? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL :—" I shall consider the matter."

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—" With regard to answer (a) of the question, may I know whether access by memorial was there even when second appeals were provided for ? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL :—" Yes, the access by memorial was in existence before."

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—" May I know, whether in the case of access by memorial it is absolutely optional on the part of the Government either to consider or not to consider it, whereas in the case of second appeals they are bound to consider and pass orders on them ? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL :—" Yes, if the appeals are in time and in order."

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—" May I know the reasons why the rights of these aggrieved officers to have their representations considered by Government finally by second appeal and orders passed have been taken away, as what remains is merely a memorial which may or may not be considered by Government ? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL :—" I must ask for notice of that question."

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—" With reference to clauses (c) and (d), may I know if the answer is based on any inquiry made by the Government, or it is a mere expression of opinion of the Government ? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL :—" It is based on the experience of the Government."

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—" How long has the experience lasted after the second appeal has been abolished, and what are the facts on which the Government have come to the conclusion that no hardship is being caused to these officers ? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL :—" I must ask for notice of that question."

## Settlement

*Resettlement operations in the South Kanara district.*

* 78 Q.—Mr. K. R. KARANT : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state the nature, extent, area, etc., of the resettlement operations that have so far taken place in the district of South Kanara ?

A.—A statement showing the progress of resettlement operations in and up to the end of the quarter ending 31st March 1929 in the South Kanara district is appended. [a]

Mr. K. R. KARANT :—" May I take it that except what is stated in items 1 to 9 of the appendix, no sort of resettlement work was done in that district ? "

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL :—" Yes."

[a] Printed as Appendix VII on page 242 infra.